

Case5:04-cv-04161-EJD Document63 Filed03/22/12

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY & BETWEEN ALL PARTIES THAT:	
2	1. The pre-trial dates set in the Court's Scheduling Order dated July 6, 2011 be	
3 4	continued to the dates set forth below for the reasons stated in the declaration of	
5	Miriam Bourdette: Deadlines apply to all three cases unless otherwise noted.	
6	5/7/12: Fact Discovery Deadline	
7	6/1/12: Exchange of Expert Disclosures & Reports	
8	6/8/12: Last Day to File Consolidation and Bifurcation Motions	
9	6/29/12: Exchange of Rebuttal Expert Disclosures & Reports	
10	6/29/12: Joint Pretrial Conference Statement (Fernandez and Orozco)	
	7/6/12: Consolidation / Bifurcation Motion Hearing at 9:00 AM	
11	7/6/12: Preliminary Pretrial Conference at 11:00 AM (Fernandez and Orozco)	
12	6/29/12 Last day to file Joint Interim Case Management Conference Statement (Carranz 7/6/12 Interim Case Management Conference (Carranza)	i za)
14		
15	2. The following dates set in the July 11 Order will remain as ordered:	
16	8/10/12: Expert Discovery Cut-off	
17	8/31/12: Dispositive and <i>Daubert</i> Motions Filing deadline	
18	9/21/12: Hearing on Anticipated Dispositive & <i>Daubert</i> Motions at 9:00 AM	
19	10/19/12: Joint Pretrial Conference Statement (Carranza)	
	10/26/12: Final Pretrial Conference ( <i>Carranza</i> )	
20	11/5/12: Trial ( <i>Carranza</i> )	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

## Case5:04-cv-04161-EJD Document63 Filed03/22/12 Page3 of 5

1		Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	DATED: March 14, 2012	BOURDETTE AND PARTNERS
4		
5		By/s/ Miriam Bourdette
6		Miriam Bourdette Attorneys for Plaintiff
7		Attorneys for Flament
8		
9		
10	DATED: March 14, 2012	KAYE SCHOLER LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ Wendy S. Dowse
13		Wendy S. Dowse Attorneys for Defendants
14		
15		
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULA	TION, IT IS SO ORDERED:
17		<b>Δ</b> . <b>Δ</b> .
18 19	Dated:March 22, 2012	EQU O ULL
20		The Honorable Edward J. Davila
21		United States District Court Northern District of California
22		Trottion Bistret of Camorina
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF MIRIAM BOURDETTE

I, Miriam Bourdette, declare that:

- 1. I am a resident of the State of California, licensed to practice in all the Courts of California and admitted in the United States District Court Northern District of California. I am an attorney of record for Plaintiffs. If called as a witness I could and would testify truthfully as to the facts set forth below:
- 2. On December 9, 2011, Judge James R. Goodwin held an Initial Case
  Management Conference regarding 15 cases pending in United States District Court, Eastern
  District, Fresno Division. Judge Goodwin Chief Judge of the United States District Court West
  Virginia Southern District, had recently been assigned to replace Judge Oliver Wanger who had
  resigned from the Bench. On December 9<sup>th</sup> Judge Goodwin ordered 10-day, back-to-back trials
  in the 15 cases to begin July 30, 2012. The second trial starts August 13, 2012 and every other
  trial, approximately 10 days later. Judge Goodwin later modified that Order to allow time for
  the trial date previously set by this Court, November 5, 2012, for the Carranza case and if
  consolidated, Fernandez and Orozco cases. Judge Goodwin's Order regarding Stipulated
  Orders of Trial Dates and Amended Pretrial Scheduling Orders for Remand Group 1 and
  Remand Group 2 are attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, C & D and incorporated as though fully
  set forth herein.
- 3. Defendants and Plaintiffs have worked diligently to meet all the deadlines set forth in Judge Goodwin's and this Court's Scheduling Orders. At least 125 more Treating and Prescribing Doctors depositions, 17 more Plaintiffs Depositions, 15 Sales Rep depositions (assuming we can take their depositions regarding the doctors they visited in all cases at the same time) and 65 Expert depositions have yet to be scheduled in the Fresno and San Jose

## Case5:04-cv-04161-EJD Document63 Filed03/22/12 Page5 of 5

cases. Scheduling has been very difficult as it involves availability of experts, doctors, parties' lawyers, doctor's lawyers. There still are billing records, medical records and physical evidence (mammography films and pathology slides) that have not been obtained, further complicating the scheduling. Without all billing and medical records and physical evidence it is near impossible to prepare plaintiffs properly for their depositions, to provide doctors (many of whom are retired or have purged their own files since the care was provided so many years ago) and their attorneys copies of the charts about which they are to be deposed, or experts with materials on which to make final reports. Neither defendants nor plaintiffs could foresee the difficulty in obtaining the records or the impact of Judge Goodwin's Orders on the discovery process in the three cases pending before this Court.

4. It is for these reasons that we stipulate to the continuances requested above and hope for relief as stated in the Stipulation.

I declare under penalty of perjury and under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true. Executed this 14<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2012.

<u>/s/ Miriam Bourdette</u> Miriam Bourdette